## REMARKS

By this Reply, claims 1, 4, and 11 have been amended. Accordingly, claims 1-6, 8-13, 15, and 16 are currently pending in the application, of which claims 1, 4, and 11 are independent claims.

Applicants respectfully submit that the above amendments do not add new matter to the application and are fully supported by the specification. Support for the amendments may be found at least in paragraphs [0040]-[0047] of the specification.

In view of the above amendments and the following Remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and timely withdrawal of the pending rejections for the reasons discussed below.

## Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph

Claims 1, 4, and 11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as allegedly being indefinite. In particular, independent claims 1, 4, and 11 are objected to because the phrases "non-frame data" and "frame data" are unclear to the Examiner.

While not acceding to the propriety of the rejection, to further prosecution of this application, Applicants have herein amended claims 1, 4, and 11 by replacing "non-frame data" and "frame data" with "first message" and "second message," respectively. These amendments are not made for the purpose of avoiding prior art or narrowing the claimed invention.

Therefore, Applicants do not intend to relinquish any subject matter by these amendments.

Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1, 4, and 11, as amended, fully comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph rejection of claims 1, 4, and 11, and submit that claims 1-6, 8-13, 15, and 16 are in condition for allowance.

Application No.: 10/645,522 Reply dated January 4, 2010 Response to Office Action of April 7, 2008

Other Matters

In addition to the amendments mentioned above, claims 4 and 11, in part, are amended herein to address a minor informality. These amendments are not made for the purpose of avoiding prior art or narrowing the claimed invention, and no change in claim scope is intended. Therefore, Applicants do not intend to relinquish any subject matter by these amendments.

10

Application No.: 10/645,522 Reply dated January 4, 2010

Response to Office Action of April 7, 2008

CONCLUSION

Applicants believe that a full and complete response has been made to the pending

Office Action and respectfully submit that all of the stated grounds for rejection have been

overcome or rendered moot. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims

are allowable and that the application is in condition for allowance.

Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of

this response, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the

number below to expedite prosecution.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Reply is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/hae-chan park/

Hae-Chan Park

Reg. No. 50,114

Date: January 4, 2010

**CUSTOMER NUMBER: 58027** 

H.C. Park & Associates, PLC

8500 Leesburg Pike

Suite 7500

Vienna, VA 22182

Tel: 703-288-5105

Fax: 703-288-5139

HCP/UAK/ebs

11